JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	ocket sheet. (SEE MOTRO)	errons on next rade o	DEFENDANT	S		
. ,	s, 7907 Lindburgh E	Boulevard, Phila.,				
(b) County of Residence		Bucks	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ASES)				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number	er)	Attorneys (If Known			
	Willam J. Fox, P.C. (,		
	eet, Ste. 303, Phila.,					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF I (For Diversity Cases Only		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif and One Box for Defendant)	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citizen of This State	PTF DEF 1 Incorporated or P of Business In		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and of Business In		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Soreign Nation	6 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	THE PARTY OF THE P	EODERITURE TRANSPORT	Click here for: Nature of		
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR	Y 625 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act	
120 Marine 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881		376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))	
140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	267 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust	
& Enforcement of Judgmen	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability		820 Copyrights 830 Patent	430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal		835 Patent - Abbreviated	460 Deportation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability		New Drug Application 840 Trademark	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER		880 Defend Trade Secrets	480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	710 Fair Labor Standards	Act of 2016	(15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	720 Labor/Management	SOCIAL SECURITY	Protection Act	
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	Relations 740 Railway Labor Act	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923)	490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/	
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	751 Family and Medical Leave Act	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI	Exchange	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		865 RSI (405(g))	890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts	
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	791 Employee Retirement	DESCRIPTION OF THE STATE OF THE	893 Environmental Matters	
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	441 Voting x 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	895 Freedom of Information Act	
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General		or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party	896 Arbitration	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -		IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of	
	Employment 446 Amer, w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe	er 462 Naturalization Application	on	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	
	Other	550 Civil Rights	Actions		State Statutes	
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -				
		Conditions of				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	in One Box Onlyi	Confinement				
💌 l Original 🗀 2 Res	moved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	Reopened Anoth	ferred from 6 Multidistr ner District Litigation	- Litigation -	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Str	atute under which you ar	(speci re filing (Do not cite jurisdictional st		Direct File	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Title VII of 1964 Civil R					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca Discrimination/Retaliati					
VII. REQUESTED IN	☐ CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	.5, F.K.CV.P.		JURY DEMAND:	×Yes No	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF RECORD			
6-10-25		VIT				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		1			Part of the second	
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	MPPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	

10/2024

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

Place of Accident, Incident, or Transaction: Philadelphia. PA						
RELATED CASE IF ANY: Case Number:	Judge: Gene E. K. Pratter					
Does this case involve property included in an earlier numbered	suit? Yes					
2. Does this case involve a transaction or occurrence which was the subject of an earlier numbered suit?						
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent which was the subject of an earlier numbered suit?						
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus petition, social security appeal, or pro se case filed by the same Yes individual?						
 Is this case related to an earlier numbered suit even though none of the above categories apply? Yes If yes, attach an explanation. 						
I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the within case action in this court.	is / is not related to any pending or previously terminated					
Civil Litigation Categories						
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:					
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts) 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Wage and Hour Class Action/Collective Action 6. Patent 7. Copyright/Trademark 8. Employment 9. Labor-Management Relations 10. Civil Rights 11. Habeas Corpus 12. Securities Cases 13. Social Security Review Cases 14. Qui Tam Cases 15. Cases Seeking Systemic Relief *see certification below* 16. All Other Federal Question Cases. (Please specify):	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. All Other Diversity Cases: (Please specify)					
beyond the parties before the court and does / does not seek to be federal law including a rule, regulation, policy, or order of the executive judgment and/or any form of injunctive relief.	ar or mandate statewide or nationwide enforcement of a state or					
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (CH	ECK ONLY ONE BOX BELOW)					
I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief: X Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2(3), this case is not eligible for arbitrat money damages sought are in excess of \$150,000 exclusive of interest and costs; violation of a right secured by the U.S. Constitution, or (4) jurisdiction is based in	(3) it is a social security case, includes a prisoner as a party, or alleges a					
None of the restrictions in Local Civil Rule 53.2 apply and this case is e						

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Marquet Parsons		: CIVIL ACTION				
v.		: :				
City of Philadelphia Sho C/O City Law Departme	eriff's Office ent	: :	NO.			
plaintiff shall complete a Cass filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the ev designation, that defendant sh	e Management? a copy on all devent that a defermall, with its firs ies, a Case Man	Track Design fendants. (Sondant does tappearance aggement T	Reduction Plan of this court, counsel gnation Form in all civil cases at the time See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the revenot agree with the plaintiff regarding see, submit to the clerk of court and serve rack Designation Form specifying the transport.	e of erse said e on		
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING CA	ASE MANA	AGEMENT TRACKS:			
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.						
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.						
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.						
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)						
(f) Standard Management – (Cases that do no	t fall into a	ny one of the other tracks.	(X)		
6-10-2025	William J. Fo	ox, Esq.	Plaintiff			
Date	Attorney-a	t-law	Attorney for			
(215) 546-2477			wjf@billfoxlaw.com			
Telephone	FAX Num	ber	E-Mail Address			
(Civ. 660) 10/02						



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

:

MARQUET PARSONS : 7907 Lindbergh Boulevard

Philadelphia, PA 19153

Plaintiff :

v. :

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA
SHERIFF'S OFFICE
C/O CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
1515 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19102

Defendant

COMPLAINT

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter under Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, et seq.; and, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act giving rise to Federal Question Jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and Civil Rights Jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1347.
- 2. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims made herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367, as the claim is so related to claims in the action within such original jurisdiction of this Court that they form part of the same case or controversy.
- 3. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because the parties reside in this district, regularly conduct business in this district and the incidents in controversy occurred in this district.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, Marquet Parsons, is 56 years of age. Plaintiff resides at the above-captioned address.
- 5. Defendant, City of Philadelphia Sheriff's Office, maintains offices at 100 S. Broad Street, Philadelphia, PA 19110, C/O City Solicitor at the above-captioned address.

FACTS

- 6. Plaintiff has been employed by Defendant since 1994.
- 7. In or around May of 2019, Plaintiff filed a charge of discrimination alleging retaliation and hostile work environment against the City of Philadelphia Sheriff's Office, then Sheriff, Jewell Williams and Deputy Chief, Paris Washington. This action was protected activity.
- 8. In 2019, Rochelle Bilal was elected as Sheriff and is presently the Sheriff of Philadelphia.
- 9. In January of 2020, Plaintiff engaged in protected activity when he signed an affidavit that supported the claims of discrimination made by his coworkers, Richard Verrecchio and Angelina Brown.
- 10. In November of 2020, Plaintiff engaged in protected activity when he filed a complaint of retaliation and hostile work environment in the United States District Court For The Eastern District. This matter was resolved in or around June of 2021.
- 11. In 2020, Plaintff informed Sheriff Bilal that he had pending claims of retaliation discrimination and harassment.

- 12. In February of 2020, Plaintiff was promoted from the position of Captain to the position of Deputy Chief.
- 13. In February of 2020, Plaintiff disciplined Sheriff Bilal's male Chief of Staff for sexually harassing the female Human Resources Director, Anitra Paris.
- 14. Following this incident, Plaintiff's relationship with Sheriff Bilal became strained and he was removed from an investigation regarding missing weapons from the Sheriff's Armory.
- 15. After being promoted to Deputy Chief, Sheriff Bilal notified Plaintiff that she would work to secure Plaintiff a substantial increase in pay.
 - 16. At all times relevant, Plaintiff performed his duties at or above expectations.
- 17. In August of 2020, Sheriff Bilal falsely accused Plaintiff of engaging in sexual relations with Undersheriff Sommer Miller and Human Resources Manager, Anitra Paris. These actions were retaliatory.
- 18. Since 2020 through the present, Plaintiff has been subjected to retaliation based on prior protected activity and discrimination and harassment based upon his age. The incidents of discrimination and harassment include, but are not limited to, the following:
- a. Subjecting Plaintiff to unfair scrutiny and ridiculing Plaintiff in front of his peers and subordinates on multiple occasions;
- b. Excluding and alienating Plaintiff from meetings and from key decisionmaking matters;
- c. In order to secure a raise in salary, Sheriff Bilal tried to persuade Plaintiff to give up his civil service status for the purposes of making Plaintiff an at will employee which

would have caused Plaintiff to lose any civil service and union protection;

- d. After falsely accusing Plaintiff of having sexual relations with the Undersheriff, Sheriff Bilal transferred Plaintiff from his position of Deputy Chief of Internal Affairs, which he had successfully handled, and replaced Plaintiff with a younger employee;
- e. After the transfer, Plaintiff was assigned to a newly created position as Deputy Chief of the Policy and Procedure Unit without any support or assistance. Subsequently, Sheriff Bilal assigned Deputy Chief Angelinel Brown and Plainiff to share the same office while substantially younger subordinates were not required to share offices with other peers;
- f. Failing to increase Plaintiff's salary after promoting him from Captain to Deputy Chief;
- i. Wrongfully issuing a statement of charges against Plaintiff regarding a matter that had occurred more than a year earlier.
- 19. During the time that Plaintiff held the position of Deputy Chief, Sheriff Bilal denied Plaintiff the opportunity to earn overtime.
- 20. On August 7, 2023, for no legitimate reason and no articulated reason, Sheriff Bilal demoted Plaintiff from his appointed position as Deputy Chief to the rank of Captain.
- 21. During the time that Plaintiff held the position of Deputy Chief, Sheriff Bilal gave preferential treatment to Plaintiff's peers who were substantially younger than he with regard to the terms and conditions of his employment.
- 22. Respondent's aforesaid actions violate Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, the ADEA and PHRA.

- 23. Defendant's aforesaid actions constitute age discrimination and retaliation.
- 24. Defendant's aforesaid actions violate Title VII, the ADEA and PHRA.
- 25. Any reasons that Defendant alleges for the aforesaid adverse actions, which include demotion, are false and a pretext.
- 26. The real reasons that Plaintiff suffered adverse action with regard to the terms and conditions of his employment was because of his age and the fact that he engaged in multiple incidents of protected activity.
- 27. Defendant's adverse actions against Plaintiff regarding the terms and conditions of his employment constitutes retaliation in violation of Title VII and PHRA.

Count I - Title VII

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 27 of this Complaint as though same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 29. Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies and received a right to sue letter from the EEOC.
 - 30. Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff because he engaged in protected conduct.
- 31. Defendant's aforesaid adverse actions against Plaintiff regarding the terms and conditions of his employment was retaliatory because Plaintiff had previously engaged in multiple incidents of protected conduct against Defendant.
- 32. Any non-discriminatory and/or non-retaliatory reasons offered by Defendant for their aforesaid actions are false and constitute a pretext.

- 33. Defendant's aforesaid retaliatory actions against Plaintiff violate Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, et. seq.
- 34. As a result of Defendant's aforesaid conduct, Plaintiff has suffered lost wages and benefits, humiliation, mental anguish, emotional distress, associated stress, pain and suffering and other injuries.
- 35. Plaintiff seek all damages allowable under Title VII, including back pay, front pay, compensatory damages, punitive damages, counsel fees and costs of suit.

Count II - ADEA

- 36. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 35 of this Complaint as though same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 37. At all times relevant, Plaintiff was well qualified for his position, including the positions that he applied for.
- 38. Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies and received a right to sue letter from the EEOC.
- 39. Defendant has discriminated against Plaintiff because of his age (56 years old) in violation of the ADEA.
- 40. Defendant's aforesaid adverse actions, including the demotion of Plaintiff, violated the ADEA because it was based on Plaintiff's age.
- 41. Any non-discriminatory and/or nonretaliatory reasons offered by Defendant for their aforesaid actions are false and constitute a pretext.
- 42. Defendant's aforesaid discriminatory and retaliatory actions against Plaintiff were willful and constitute age discrimination and retaliation in violation of the ADEA.
 - 43. As a result of Defendant's aforesaid conduct, Plaintiff has suffered lost wages and

benefits.

44. Plaintiff seeks all damages allowable under ADEA, including back pay, front pay, liquidated damages, counsel fees and costs of suit.

COUNT III - State Law Claims

- 45. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 44 of this Complaint as though same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 46. The conduct of Defendant as alleged in the foregoing claims for relief constitutes discrimination and retaliation in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act and other State and Local laws and ordinances.
- 47. Defendant has at all times material to the foregoing claims acted willfully and with malice toward Plaintiff. Defendant knew, or reasonably should have known, that the aforementioned conduct was a violation of its handbook, policies and work rules and the laws of Pennsylvania and the United States. Defendant took no action to cease its wrongful conduct, and, in fact, committed further acts designed to cover the patent discrimination against Plaintiff because of his age and because he had engaged in protected activity on multiple occasions.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial before twelve jurors.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Marquet Parsons, demands judgment in his favor and against Defendant and seeks relief as follows:

- A) Awarding compensatory damages;
- B) Awarding interest calculated at the prevailing rate;

- C) Awarding equivalent pay, back pay, front pay, liquidated damages, loss of fringe benefits and all other relief allowed under the law;
- D) Awarding reasonable attorney's fees, expert witness fees and other costs of the action; and
- E) Granting such other relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

WILLIAM J. FOX, ESQUIRE

Law Offices of William J. Fox, P.C.

100 N. 20th Street, Suite 303

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 546-2477

Attorney for Plaintiff

Date: June 10, 2025